## Recommendation on Citizen Information Network (CIN) Submitted by Project Team 1

The Citizen Information Network (Project 1) Team acknowledges that there are a number of approaches to creating an infrastructure that supports an electronic government. Project Team 1 is charged with investigating these options. Whatever approach is taken, the Team wants certain assurances for the Citizen Information Network. The Team believes there are four options:

## Build the network itself.

This would require a source of ongoing funding - most probably through the legislature or through user fees. This would also require a dedicated staff that can ensure the maintenance of the system.

## 2. Put out an RFP to hire a contractor to build the network.

This would also require a source of ongoing funding -but the work would be done by outside contractors, instead of the state, and would not give the state a great deal of control.

- 3. Put out an RFP for a sustainable partnership that benefits government, business, and customers.
- **4.** Sign an MOU with the US Postal Service, which allows lowa to become a pilot WINGS state. This is not an either/or option this option may be combined with any of the above. WINGS only provides the front-end gateway, the state continues to need to develop the back end.

**The Project Team recommends option 3** - because it allows for a variety of companies to submit a variety of approaches to creating a self-sustaining network. The Project Team recommends that this RFP incorporate the following principals:

- 1. Approach can support all lowAccess projects and meet their deadlines.
- 2. Citizen does not bear the cost of the system.
- 3. The system can generate enough revenue to support itself.
- 4. Citizen access is the primary focus.
- 5. Proposal meets the 16 criteria established by the Team and adopted by the Steering Committee.